

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,991	07/09/2001	Kim Hjortgaard Nielsen	Q65346	5169	
7.	590 11/21/2002				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MCCHESNEY, ELIZABETH A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\rightarrow$		
•				,		
Office Action Summary		09/899,991	NIELSEN ET AL.			
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Elizabeth A McChesr	-			
Period fo	, , ,					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 c, cause the application to bect	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-41 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideratio	l.			
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	D)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-41</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b	disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).			
İ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		1)		
1	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			.,.		
15) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmen		_				
2) X Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:			
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Pait of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/899,991 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the messages or any distinguishing factor of figures 2-5 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the specification page 2, lines 23-24, disclose the processor is preferably divided into a plurality of channels, however the drawing shows the filterbank divides the channels and the processor appears to combine the channels back into one channel to form the second electrical signal as referred to in claim 24 and in the specification page 2, lines 24-28. Clarification is required or a proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2644

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 27, 28, 31 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fletcher et al. (US Patent No. 4,049,930).

Regarding **claim 19**, Fletcher et al. (hereinafter, "Fletcher") discloses an input transducer 10 for transforming an acoustic input into a first electrical signal (col. 19-20) and a hearing aid amplifier 12 which processes the first electrical signal to produce a second electrical signal based on the first wherein the second electrical signal is an amplified version of the first (col. 4-lines 21-24) and an output transducer 14 for converting the second signal into sound (col. 4-lines 24-26). Fletcher further discloses a detector 24, which performs like the probe in the claimed limitation in that it determines a signal parameter by comparing the reference and test signal and wherein the reference and test signal extends down through the circuit claimed (col. 4-lines 53-57). Fletcher further discloses a test gate system 26, which controls the state of the hearing aid wherein it receives an input from the detector 24, and generates the warning due to a defect (col. 4-lines 67-68 and col. 5-lines 1-5). Fletcher further discloses a trigger 28, which is activated during a test interval and reads on activation means as claimed (col. 5-line 1). (See Figure 1).

Regarding **claim 20**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 19). Fletcher further discloses a timed switching circuit 20 wherein the microphone may be selectively interrupted from the amplifier and wherein an interruption reads on a disconnect for the signal path (col. 4-lines 30-32) while the

Art Unit: 2644

switching circuit 20 in turn transmits a signal from the signal generator as the test signal for determination of any defect in the signal in comparison with the input signal.

Regarding **claim 21**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 19). Fletcher further discloses signal generator 22 (col. 4-lines 46-60).

Regarding **claim 22**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 19). Fletcher further discloses the detector 24 compares the reference and test signal wherein the test gate system 26 operates the trigger, which turns on the warning system when a defect has been established (col. 4-lines 53-67).

Regarding **claim 23**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 21). Fletcher further discloses the signal generator 22 injects test signal at a second point wherein the test signal is compared with the reference signal and which will in turn effect the emission by the output transducer.

Regarding **claims 27 and 28**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 21). Fletcher discloses the detector 24 compares the reference and test signal with respect to amplitude and frequency wherein the test gate system 26 "verifies" that if the gain (amplitude and frequency) differs in any way it operates the trigger, which turns on the warning system.

Regarding **claim 31**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 19). Fletcher further discloses that the actual physical construction of the malfunction detection may take any practical form. Therefore activation means or malfunction detection may be constructed as a unit attached directly to the hearing aid

Art Unit: 2644

housing with the possibility use of electrical connections and which reads on the claimed limitation (col. 8-lines 48-56).

Regarding claim 40, Fletcher et al. (hereinafter, "Fletcher") discloses an input transducer 10 for transforming an acoustic input into a first electrical signal (col. 19-20) and a hearing aid amplifier 12 which processes the first electrical signal to produce a second electrical signal based on the first wherein the second electrical signal is an amplified version of the first (col. 4-lines 21-24) and an output transducer 14 for converting the second signal into sound (col. 4-lines 24-26). Fletcher further discloses a detector 24, which performs like the probe in that it determines a signal parameter by comparing the reference and test signal and wherein the reference and test signal extends down through the circuit claimed (col. 4-lines 53-57). Fletcher further discloses signal generator 22 (col. 4-lines 46-60). Fletcher further discloses a test gate system 26, which controls the state of the hearing aid wherein it receives and input from the detector 24, and generates the warning due to a defect (col. 4-lines 67-68 and col. 5-lines 1-5). Fletcher further discloses a trigger 28, which is activated during a test interval and reads on activation means as claimed (col. 5-line 1). (See Figure 1).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2644

6. Claims 29, 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fletcher et al. (US Patent No. 4,049,930) in view of Lindemann et al. (US Patent No. 6,118,877).

Regarding **claim 29**, Fletcher fails to specifically disclose using compression in the claimed invention. Lindemann discloses that some hearing aids provide dynamic range compression and therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the compression ratio in view of the insertion gains in order to verify for a defect in the signal (col. 1-lines 42-53).

Regarding claims 32-34, Fletch discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 19). Fletcher fails to specifically disclose an activation means in which commands are received form a remote control device. Lindemann et al. (hereinafter, "Lindemann") discloses a hearing aid, which is comprised of a microphone 202, a signal processor 204 and an output transducer 212. Lindemann further discloses an input 208 that receives tones and noise for diagnostics tests from an external source not shown which could be an external test tone generator, audiometer, tape recorder, compact disk player or other sound source provided to the switch (col. 6-lines 64-col. 7-lines 1-4). It is well known that these devices have the option of inputting through a remote control and include devices such as programming or fitting devices. Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to receive commands from any inputs listed above to provide inputs through devices such as remote control, programming or fitting devices in order to operate the hearing aid.

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 35**, Lindemann further discloses a memory 220 for storing real world sound parameters (col. 6-lines 50-56).

Regarding **claim 36**, Lindemann further discloses a tone generator 214, which generates a tone and provides such a signal to the controller in the test tone generation mode, which is inherent that the tone would be generated in the test mode when there is a defect.

Regarding **claim 37**, Lindermann further discloses the tone generator coupled to the receiver produces tone for diagnostic tests and therefore it is inherent that this would alert to the user the information about the test for example, such as a defect.

Regarding **claim 38**, Fletcher fails to specifically disclose two switches.

Lindemann discloses two switches 120 and 112, which reads on the claimed limitation that they are used for selective determination of parameters at respective points of the signal path (see figure 1).

Regarding **claim 39**, Lindemann shows in figure 1 where test signals can be selectively injected into either switch of the signal path through either for example the generic input 110 or the hearing rehabilitator 104.

7. Claims 24, 25, 30 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fletcher et al. (US Patent No. 4,049,930) in view of Kaulberg (Pub. No. US 2002/0057814 A1).

Regarding **claim 24**, Fletcher discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 21). Fletcher fails to specifically point out or disclose the hearing aid

Art Unit: 2644

comprising a filter bank with bandpass filters. However, the examiner maintains that filterbanks are well known in the art in order to divide channels to handle the different frequencies separately and accordingly. Kaulberg discloses a hearing aid wherein an input signal is transformed into a first electrical signal, then dividing the first electrical signal into a set of bandpass filtered first electrical signals, processing each of the bandpass filtered first electrical individually and adding the processed electrical signals into a second electrical signal into an acoustic output (see section [0017] and figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to divide the signal to process each frequency range individually.

Regarding claim 25, Kaulberg further discloses estimating acoustic feedback by generation of a third electrical signal by adaptive filtering of the bandpass filtered second electrical signals (see section [0017]) and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the filter design taught by Kaulberg in conjunction with Fletcher in order it to determine a defect in amplitude, frequency, tone or harmonic distortion, in the signal with reference to a test signal injected.

Regarding **claim 30**, Kaulberg further discloses a method comprising a hearing aid with an adaptive filter for compensation of acoustic feedback. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method of Kaulberg for the purpose of avoiding undesired sounds such as howling, signal distortion, etc. which would contribute to a defect signal detected by Fletcher.

Regarding **claim 41**, Fletcher et al. (hereinafter, "Fletcher") discloses an input transducer 10 for transforming an acoustic input into a first electrical signal (col. 19-20)

Art Unit: 2644

and a hearing aid amplifier 12 which processes the first electrical signal to produce a second electrical signal based on the first wherein the second electrical signal is an amplified version of the first (col. 4-lines 21-24) and an output transducer 14 for converting the second signal into sound (col. 4-lines 24-26). Fletcher further discloses a detector 24, which performs like the probe in that it determines a signal parameter by comparing the reference and test signal and wherein the reference and test signal extends down through the circuit claimed (col. 4-lines 53-57). Fletcher further discloses signal generator 22 (col. 4-lines 46-60). Fletcher further discloses a test gate system 26, which controls the state of the hearing aid wherein it receives and input from the detector 24, and generates the warning due to a defect (col. 4-lines 67-68 and col. 5lines 1-5). Fletcher further discloses a trigger 28, which is activated during a test interval and reads on activation means as claimed (col. 5-line 1). (See Figure 1). Fletcher fails to specifically point out or disclose the hearing aid comprising a filter bank with bandpass filters. However, the examiner maintains that filterbanks are well known in the art in order to divide channels to handle the different frequencies separately and accordingly. Kaulberg discloses a hearing aid wherein an input signal is transformed into a first electrical signal, then dividing the first electrical signal into a set of bandpass filtered first electrical signals, processing each of the bandpass filtered first electrical individually and adding the processed electrical signals into a second electrical signal into an acoustic output (see section [0017] and figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to divide the signal to process each frequency range

Art Unit: 2644

individually for the purpose of eliminated unwanted noise that would contribute to the defect signal in the hearing aid.

8. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fletcher et al. (US Patent No. 4,049,930) in view of Lindemann et al. (US Patent No. 6,118,877) and in further view of Svajda et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0039428 A1).

Regarding claim 26, neither Fletcher nor Lindemann specifically disclose using a telecoil as at least one of the transducers. However, the examiner maintains that this is well known in the art. Svajda et al. (hereinafter, "Svajda") discloses a conventional hearing aid can include both a microphone and a telecoil, wherein an the telecoil picks up a magnetic field so the person wearing the hearing aid can hear the speaker with out the common background audio noises that may occur in various noisy locations (see section [0006]). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a telecoil as well as a microphone in order to avoid some of the common background audio noises.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. McChesney whose telephone number is (703) 308-4563. The examiner can normally be reached Monday – Friday, 8:00 am – 4:30 pm.

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

EAM 717 November 17, 2002

FORESTEH W. TEXAMINE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE SUPERVISORY CENTER 2500 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

6

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**